

Civil Rights and Social Activism in the South

Series 2



The Legal Battle for Civil Rights in Alabama

Filmed from the holdings of University of South Alabama Archives

Primary Source Media



The Legal Battle for Civil Rights in Alabama



Part 1: Vernon Z. Crawford Records, 1958-1978: Civil Rights Cases

Part 2: Selections from the Blacksher, Menefee and Stein Records

Filmed from the holdings of University of South Alabama Archives

Primary Source Media



Primary Source Media



12 Lunar Drive, Woodbridge, CT 06525
Tel: (800) 444 0799 and (203) 397 2600
Fax: (203) 397 3893

P.O. Box 45, Reading, England
Tel: (+44) 1734 583247
Fax: (+44) 1734 394334

ISBN: 978-1-57803-390-X

All rights reserved, including those to
reproduce this book or any parts
thereof in any form

Printed and bound in the
United States of America

2007

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Collection Overview.....	v
Introduction to the Collection.....	vi
Editorial Note.....	xi
Acknowledgments.....	xii
Reel Guide	
<i>Part 1: Vernon Z. Crawford Records, 1958-1978: Civil Rights Cases.....</i>	1
<i>Part 2: Selections from the Blacksher, Menefee and Stein Records</i>	
<i>Bolden v. City of Mobile.....</i>	6
<i>Brown v. Moore.....</i>	12
<i>Birdie Mae Davis et al v. Board of School Commissioners of Mobile County...</i>	21

COLLECTION OVERVIEW

As civil rights historiography moves deeper into its second phase, the study of local and community organizations becomes more important. The papers of Vernon Z. Crawford and the records of the Blacksher, Menefee, and Stein Law Firm from the holdings of the University of South Alabama Archives comprise an extensive collection of civil rights material and represent a significant contribution to civil rights scholarship. Scholars are now focusing on the local dimensions of the post-1965 period, when strategies shifted and civil rights organizations turned to a variety of issues that differed from the targets of the 1950s and early 1960s. While scholars have focused on activities in Birmingham and Montgomery, Alabama, this collection opens a wider study into the activities of another Alabama city, one with a unique ethnic mix, as well as a unionized work force that rivaled that of Birmingham.

The Legal Battle for Civil Rights in Alabama is drawn from the papers of Vernon Z. Crawford and the Blacksher, Menefee, and Stein Collection. It features files on lawsuits relating to topics like school desegregation, discriminatory juror selection, and minority vote dilution. Materials filmed include legal documentation, complaints, petitions, requests, depositions, handwritten notes, correspondence, exhibits (maps, plans of school buildings, population diagrams), news clippings, and surveys relating to major legal cases.

This microform edition will be of interest to researchers in political science, history, law, and other fields as an opportunity to examine essential primary documents surrounding several major civil rights cases tried in Alabama during the past four decades.

INTRODUCTION TO THE COLLECTION

The papers of Vernon Z. Crawford and the Blacksher, Menefee, and Stein Collection comprise an extensive collection of material documenting the legal battle for civil rights in Alabama in the latter part of the twentieth-century. The microform edition of *The Legal Battle for Civil Rights in Alabama*, assembled here by Primary Source Media, provides insight into key legal battles regarding school desegregation, discriminatory juror selection, and minority vote dilution. Crawford and his partners confronted Jim Crow in the courtroom during the height of the civil rights movement; their victories had national ramifications that are still felt today.

Vernon Zionchek Crawford was born in Mobile, Alabama in 1919. At that time Mobile remained an economically depressed city, especially for the African American population. African Americans in Mobile were as harshly segregated as in other southern cities, particularly in education and employment. Crawford joined the Merchant Marine shortly before World War II. Following the war, he chose to leave the shipping industry and enrolled at Alabama State University. In 1951, after his graduation, Crawford decided to pursue a career in law from Brooklyn Law School in New York.

While Crawford worked toward a law degree in New York, the racial climate in the south began to deteriorate. In 1954, the United States Supreme Court outlawed segregation in public schools with its decision in *Brown v. Board of Education*. The *Brown* decision precipitated a parallel resurgence in African American activism and white resistance. Reactionary forces began forming Citizens' Councils and southern political leaders sought ways to circumvent the *Brown* decision and prevent further gains in civil rights. In June of 1956, just one month after Crawford was admitted to the state bar, Alabama Attorney General John Patterson secured an injunction against the NAACP for its failure to register as an out-of-state organization. The ruling destroyed the NAACP's ability to operate legally in the state until 1964. Crawford returned to Mobile and opened a practice on Davis Avenue, in the center of Mobile's African American community. Over the next thirty years, his firm would participate in some of the most far-reaching legal cases of the civil rights era.

In Mobile, civil rights activists reorganized under the banner of the Non-Partisan Voters' League. One of the League's most prominent members was local postman John L. LeFlore. He cultivated a working relationship with Crawford, who became counsel for the League. One of their earliest legal efforts was the *Willie Seals* case. Seals was convicted of rape by an all-white jury in 1958 and sentenced to death. The Non-Partisan Voters' League retained Crawford for the appeal. He argued that the systematic exclusion of African Americans from the jury rolls infringed upon Seals' constitutional rights and applied for a writ of error. The Alabama Supreme Court summarily rejected Crawford's argument, but the U.S. Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals reversed the decision in 1963 and granted one of the first writs of error in Alabama history. The *Seals* decision had national ramifications and served as a precedent for the integration of juries throughout the south. Seals was finally released in 1970 after a twelve-year incarceration.

Shortly after the *Seals* decision, Crawford and the League intervened in another local case. Nathaniel Taylor was charged with the brutal murder of Lillian Kohorn in 1964. Taylor was arrested on the day of Kohorn's murder for seeking work without a permit and confessed to killing the woman after a thirty-eight hour interrogation. Crawford secured an acquittal after a psychologist testified that Taylor's mental disability prevented him from giving a voluntary confession. The prosecution had no physical evidence that linked Taylor to the crime and he was released in July 1965. The *Taylor* case, along with the *Seals* case, established a strong partnership between Crawford's firm and the Non-Partisan Voters' League.

In late November 1962, John LeFlore presented a petition for the desegregation of Mobile schools, signed by the parents of twenty-seven African American students, to the all-white school board. At the time, Mobile's school system was the largest in Alabama with eighty-nine schools and 72,000 students, 40% of whom were African American. When the school board failed to act on the petition, NAACP counsel Constance Baker Motley announced that the Legal Defense Fund would support further legal action. Crawford became a cooperating attorney for the NAACP's Legal Defense Fund and shifted his focus to civil rights litigation. On March 27, 1963, Vernon Crawford filed suit against the Mobile County School Board on behalf of Birdie Mae Davis, Henry Hobdy, and twenty other African American students. Within two weeks, legal battles in Mobile were overshadowed by the beginning of Martin Luther King Jr.'s campaign in Birmingham. Over the next four months, protests and violence in Alabama's "Magic City" dominated the national attention.

In June 1963, Judge Daniel Thomas ordered the school board to submit a desegregation plan for the 1964-1965 school year. The Board chose a "backwards stair step" plan that would begin with the twelfth grade and move down over the next several years. The plan would initially desegregate the three high schools. Immediately following the decision, reactionary whites in the Mobile area formed several organizations to oppose integration. Their protests would shatter Mobile's reputation as a city of racial moderation.

White Mobilians were not the only ones concerned with the desegregation plan. Democratic Alabama Governor George C. Wallace ordered state police to several Alabama cities and successfully prevented desegregation of Mobile schools on September 9, 1963. His success was short-lived as Birdie Mae Davis and Henry Hobdy, two African American students, enrolled in classes the following day. The next five days witnessed confrontations at schools and a growing resistance to integration. School desegregation would remain a major factor in Mobile politics for the next decade. The effects were immediately visible. In 1964, Mobile voted for Republican candidates for the first time since Reconstruction.

The *Birdie Mae Davis* case can be divided into two distinct phases. From 1964 to 1970, *Birdie Mae Davis* involved "freedom of choice" and zoning laws. After numerous reversals by Judge Daniel Thomas and the Fifth Circuit, the case was added as a companion case to *Swann v. Charlotte-Mecklenburg*, which was heard before the Supreme Court in October 1970. In that case, the Supreme Court ruled that busing could be used to achieve integration, which resulted in another shift in tactics for *Birdie Mae Davis*. After 1970, the *Davis* case took on a life of its own as LeFlore and other African American leaders were increasingly occupied with school desegregation, leaving Crawford and his partners to negotiate with

school board attorneys. The case officially ended in May of 1997, with the dubious distinction of being one of the longest-running school desegregation cases in America.

School desegregation, and the specter of busing in particular, dramatically altered the political climate in Mobile. The rise of white resistance coincided with the emergence of a new civil rights organization in Mobile, The Neighborhood Organized Workers. Organized in 1966, NOW was transformed in 1968 by Noble Beasley, a native Mississippian with a checkered past, who became president of the group shortly before the assassination of Martin Luther King Jr. in April. Leaders of NOW applied for a permit to hold a march in honor of the slain leader. When their request was refused, Beasley sent marchers into the street where they were joined by large numbers of sympathizers. The King march inaugurated a period of confrontation between the organization and city officials. In 1969, NOW demonstrated outside the Junior Miss Pageant in Mobile before a national audience. During the incident mass arrests took place; John LeFlore and Vernon Crawford, who were observing the protests, were incarcerated. Later that year, NOW leaders announced a boycott of the municipal elections. The boycott was opposed by the Non-Partisan Voters' League because it endangered the League's practice of endorsing moderate white candidates through the "pink sheet" campaign. Despite the League's opposition, the boycott resulted in a record-low turnout in the city's African American wards and contributed to the defeat of Joseph Langan, a white moderate and long-time supporter of LeFlore and the politics of gradualism.

The emergence of NOW presented John LeFlore and the League with a tactical dilemma. With its older strategy effectively repudiated, the League shifted its focus from electing candidates to changing the structure of government itself. Since 1911, Mobile had elected city commissioners through at-large elections. The practice, which also applied to school board elections, effectively precluded the election of an African American candidate, leaving African Americans to seek influence as "swing voters" in contests between white candidates.

By 1973, plans were being made to challenge Mobile's form of government. Earlier efforts to convince voters to approve a mayor-council government were soundly defeated. The defeat proved that the only effective way to achieve a reversal of sixty years of discrimination would be through a class-action lawsuit. While plans for the suit were forming, Crawford's firm steadily grew. James Blacksher, a white graduate from the University of Alabama, joined the Crawford Firm in 1971. He was joined by two other white attorneys, Larry Menefee and Greg Stein who would soon take on most of Crawford's civil rights work.

In July 1975, twelve African American Mobilians filed suit charging that Mobile's at-large form of government diluted minority voting rights in violation of the 1965 Voting Rights Act. The principle plaintiff in the case was Wiley Bolden; a World War I veteran who fought in segregated American units in France and Germany and had helped John LeFlore organize the Mobile NAACP in 1926. On January 19, 1976, *Bolden v. City of Mobile* was certified as a class action lawsuit. Judge Virgil Pittman opened the case that July. Pittman agreed to a tour of Mobile's African American wards to see first hand the salutary neglect perpetuated by the at-large form of government. During these hearings, the Crawford Firm initiated a similar suit, *Brown v. Moore*, charging that the at-large election of school board members

was unconstitutional. *Brown* and *Bolden* became inextricably linked, using the same attorneys, witnesses and evidence. Judge Pittman ruled in both cases during the 1976 term. He found for the plaintiffs and agreed that the at-large elections diluted minority voting strength and ordered a mayor-council government be implemented by 1977. These plans were halted however when the City of Mobile appealed the ruling to the U.S. Supreme Court. The defendants in the *Brown* case acquiesced to Pittman's ruling and divided the school board into five districts, resulting in the election of two African American school board members in 1978. The men were met with hostility by other board members. By 1977, actions in *Bolden*, *Brown*, and *Birdie Mae Davis* had evolved into a complex web of litigation. James Blacksher, Larry Menefee, and Greg Stein, the three white lawyers in the Crawford Firm, left to form their own practice to deal specifically with the civil rights cases that Vernon Crawford and the League had initiated over the last fifteen years.

The *Bolden* case resulted in similar suits during the late 1970s in Hattiesburg, Mississippi, Little Rock, Arkansas, and Jackson, Tennessee. The cases suffered a significant setback on April 22, 1980 when the Supreme Court ruled in the *Bolden* case. In a 6-3 decision, the court stated that in order to establish a violation of the Voting Rights Act, the plaintiffs had to show evidence of intent to discriminate. Until such evidence could be produced, the *Bolden* case remained on hold. In early May 1980, Mobile School Board president Dan Alexander dismissed both African American board members, stating that the precedent that secured their election was no longer valid. Four years passed before they were allowed to return.

Between the Supreme Court ruling and the second phase of the *Bolden* case, race relations in Mobile continued to deteriorate. Two months before the trial resumed, a nineteen-year old named Michael Donald was kidnapped by two Klansmen and murdered. The Klansmen took Donald's body and hung it from a tree in downtown Mobile, in retaliation for the acquittal of an African American man for killing a white police officer. The Donald lynching outraged Mobile's African American community. The incident drew national attention and Jesse Jackson came to Mobile to lead protests. During a speech, Jackson noted that the real crime was the "political lynching" that had occurred in Mobile since 1911 by the discriminatory form of government. A few months after the murder, Mobile reelected all three incumbent commissioners in the face of a final ruling in the *Bolden* case.

During the second trial, new evidence emerged. In 1909, a United States Congressman from Mobile's district had sent an open letter to the *Mobile Register* in support of the proposed at-large commission. The letter ended with an admonition that the at-large government could effectively control and exclude the African American vote. Similar letters were uncovered and proved sufficient evidence for Judge Pittman, who ruled in favor of the plaintiffs on April 15, 1982. City officials chose not to appeal the ruling and agreed to a settlement which included a new form of government. A referendum was held in April 1985 where Mobile voters approved the mayor-council form of government. In July, the first African American representatives in the city since Reconstruction and the first female representatives in the city's history were elected. In 2004, Sam Jones became Mobile's first African American mayor.

The *Bolden* case had ramifications far beyond Mobile. The Supreme Court's ruling against the plaintiffs in 1980 reenergized civil rights activists in Congress to revise the Voting Rights Act of 1965. When the measure was reauthorized in 1982, James Blacksher testified before Congress. Congress amended Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act to state that if a voting practice has the effect, not necessarily the intent, of discrimination, then it is invalid. In Mobile, Wiley Bolden was heralded as a courageous lifetime advocate of civil rights. He was present at the first commission meeting in 1986 and received a standing ovation from the commissioners. The ninety-three year old activist was a finalist for the NAACP Spingarn Award for African American achievement. He died in February 1987.

After the conclusion of the Bolden case, Vernon Crawford retired from his legal practice of thirty years and, at the insistence of several prominent African American business leaders, ran for a place on the new city council in 1985. Crawford suffered a stroke during the campaign and died in January 1986. He is remembered as a pioneer litigator in civil rights cases.

In 1989, the University of South Alabama Archives received the Blacksher, Menefee, and Stein Collection, including materials on Birdie Mae Davis, *Brown v Moore*, and *Bolden v City of Mobile*. In 2005, Vernon Crawford's widow donated his legal and personal papers, which comprise one of the few collections devoted primarily to an African American lawyer in the south. The microform edition assembled here by Primary Source Media from the holdings of the University of South Alabama Archives represents a significant contribution to the history of the legal battle for civil rights in the south. As the scholarship of the civil rights movement continues to evolve, the study of community organizations and activities become more important for understanding the struggle for racial change. Scholars of school desegregation and political history will find much new material in the collection. The three major cases represented here provide insight into how local issues affected national policy. This microform edition further compliments *Civil Rights and Social Activism in Alabama*, which includes the papers of John LeFlore and the Non-Partisan Voters' League, adding to the rich and uncharted history of the Gulf Coast's role in the civil rights movement and the legal battle for civil rights in Alabama.

Clarence L. Mohr
Professor of History
University of South Alabama

Scotty E. Kirkland
University of South Alabama

EDITORIAL NOTE

Organization and Format

The Legal Battle for Civil Rights in Alabama is comprised of two parts:

Part 1: Vernon Z. Crawford Records, 1958-1978: Civil Rights Cases (6 reels) consists of Series III and Series IV from the original collection at the University of South Alabama Archives.

Part 2: Selections from the Blacksher, Menefee and Stein Records. (37 reels) includes the following cases from the BMS Collection: *Bolden v. City of Mobile*; *Brown v. Moore*; and *Birdie Mae Davis et al v. Board of School Commissioners of Mobile County*.

Materials are arranged in the reels based on the organization developed by the University of South Alabama Archives. This guide lists materials on the folder level in the order in which they appear on the reels. Consult the *Display Comments* field for more information regarding what items or folders were not filmed.

Selection Process

The selection of materials for the microform edition of *The Legal Battle for Civil Rights in Alabama* was based on the following:

Privacy

Some materials could not be microfilmed for reasons of confidentiality. In the interest of protecting the privacy of individuals, a concerted effort was made to exclude records that contained people's home addresses, phone numbers, Social Security numbers, or personal financial information. Resumes were not filmed.

Rarity

Some printed materials, such as commonly held government reports or court documents, were excluded because they can be found in many libraries. Examples of materials not filmed include Supreme Court and Court of Appeals documents, as well as entire folders of newspaper clippings.

Notice of Unfilmed Materials

Materials excluded from within a folder are noted in the *Display Comments* field for the file in which they are housed. Files excluded in their entirety are listed in this collection guide and denoted with a "not filmed". All unfiled materials are available to researchers who use the collection on site at the University of South Alabama Archives.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This project would not have been possible without assistance from many individuals. Primary Source Media wishes to thank the staff of the University of South Alabama Archives in Mobile, Alabama for accommodating the preparation and scanning operation while the archive continued to serve researchers. PSM extends gratitude to Dr. Michael Thomason, former director of the USA Archives and archivist Carol Ellis, for their support of the project. The smooth operation of this project is due in no small part to Thomas Ashby, for his dedication to the task of physical preparation and selection of all the materials in this edition. We also wish to thank Dr. Clarence Mohr and Scotty E. Kirkland of the University of South Alabama for graciously writing an outstanding and knowledgeable introduction to the collection and microfilm edition. Finally, PSM acknowledges members of its staff: Olga Virakhovskaya, Kimberly White, and Barbara Phoenix.

Part 1: Vernon Z. Crawford Records, 1958-1978: Civil Rights Cases

Reel	Box	Case	Folder Title	Display Comments
1	4	58-282	Sawyer <i>et al</i> v. City of Mobile (1 of 2)	
1	4	58-282	Sawyer <i>et al</i> v. City of Mobile (2 of 2)	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
1	4	59-583	Bishop W.T. Phillips v. <i>The Telegram</i>	
1	4	60-681	Earl D. James <i>et al</i> v. <i>The New York Times et al</i> (1 of 3)	
1	4	60-681	Earl D. James <i>et al</i> v. <i>The New York Times et al</i> (2 of 3)	
1	4	60-681	Earl D. James <i>et al</i> v. <i>The New York Times et al</i> (3 of 3)	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
1	4	60-684	Ed Kahalley v. Conrad Deave, Alex Herman, Non-Partisan Voters' League <i>et al</i>	
1	4	64-1865	City of Mobile v. Barbara McQueen <i>et al</i> (64-1865 SCLC: Southern Christian Leadership Conference)	
1	4	64-1906	Joe Bennett v. Gabe W. Wright	
1	4	64-1987	Mrs. Theo Bernice Keller	
1	4	65-2043	Leo Davis v. Mobile Rug & Shade	
1	4	65-2359	Lillian S. McGill <i>et al</i> v. C.S. Ryals <i>et al</i> (1 of 4)	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
1	4	65-2359	Lillian S. McGill <i>et al</i> v. C.S. Ryals <i>et al</i> (2 of 4)	
1	4	65-2359	Lillian S. McGill <i>et al</i> v. C.S. Ryals <i>et al</i> (3 of 4)	
1	4	65-2359	Lillian S. McGill <i>et al</i> v. C.S. Ryals <i>et al</i> (4 of 4) (Muffin Miles - Lowndes County File #4)	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
2	4	66-2410	State of Alabama v. Fronzic Hazzard <i>et al</i>	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
2	4	66-2507	L. I. Spears Sr. (Rev.)	
2	5	66-2590	Bemis Bag Local #682 (1 of 3): Complaints to EEOC	
2	5	66-2590	Bemis Bag Local #682 (2 of 3): Correspondence	
2	5	66-2590	Bemis Bag Local #682 (3 of 3): Pleadings	
2	5	67-2788	Helen Winston & Cora Brown v T. I. Lee (Police Brutality)	
2	5	67-2810	Flossie M. Carroll	
2	5	67-2819	Lola Mae Brown (1 of 2)	
2	5	67-2819	Lola Mae Brown (2 of 2)	
2	5	67-2862	Ann Dashiell, US Department of Labor	

Part 1: Vernon Z. Crawford Records, 1958-1978: Civil Rights Cases

Reel	Box	Case	Folder Title	Display Comments
2	5	68-3154	Samuel Paige - Picketing	
2	5	68-3172	City of Mobile v. Jerry Pogue <i>et al</i> - NOW Picketing	
2	5	68-3240	George W. Preston et al v. John E. Mandeville <i>et al</i>	
2	5	68-3362	Broughton <i>et al</i> (NOW) v. Gov. Brewer, State of Alabama <i>et al</i> (1 of 4)	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
2	5	68-3362	Broughton <i>et al</i> (NOW) v. Gov. Brewer, State of Alabama <i>et al</i> (2 of 4)	
2	5	68-3362	Broughton <i>et al</i> (NOW) v. Gov. Brewer, State of Alabama <i>et al</i> (3 of 4)	
2	5	68-3362	Broughton <i>et al</i> (NOW) v. Gov. Brewer, State of Alabama <i>et al</i> (4 of 4)	
2	5	69-5000(A)	Birdie Mae Davis v. Mobile County Board of School Commissioners	
2	5	69-5000(B)	John LeFlore <i>et al</i> v. James Robinson <i>et al</i> (1 of 3)	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
2	5	69-5000(B)	John LeFlore <i>et al</i> v. James Robinson <i>et al</i> (2 of 3)	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
2	5	69-5000(B)	John LeFlore <i>et al</i> v. James Robinson <i>et al</i> (3 of 3)	
3	6	69-3528	S. C. Burton v. Mobile School Board	
3	6	69-3595	Judas Green, United Steel Workers Union	
3	6	70-3797	Archie Daniels <i>et al</i> v. Oaklawn Cemetery	
3	6	71-4236	Lula Buford	
3	6	71-4290	United States of America v. James Herbert Finley (1 of 4)	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
3	6	71-4290	United States of America v. James Herbert Finley (2 of 4)	
3	6	71-4290	United States of America v. James Herbert Finley (3 of 4)	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
not filmed	6	71-4290	United States of America v. James Herbert Finley (4 of 4)	Folder was not filmed because of privacy information.
3	6	71-4375	Darlyn Coleman	
3	6	71-4376	Ray Freeman	
3	6	71-4500	Minnie Bell Perry	
3	6	71-4648	Leon and George Roscoe	
3	6	72-5357	Jasper Lee Simon v. International Paper Company	
3	6	72-5472	Tyronne Crandall	

Part 1: Vernon Z. Crawford Records, 1958-1978: Civil Rights Cases

Reel	Box	Case	Folder Title	Display Comments
3	6	72-5552	Sgt. & Mrs. Tyrone Mason v. Falzone Realty v. HUD Dept.	
3	6	72-5603	Handy Davis v. Edward J. Graham (1 of 2)	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
3	6	72-5603	Handy Davis v. Edward J. Graham (2 of 2)	
4	6	73-5734	James H. Finley	
4	7	73-5890	James H. Finley (1 of 19)	
4	7	73-5890	James H. Finley (2 of 19)	
4	7	73-5890	James H. Finley (3 of 19)	
4	7	73-5890	James H. Finley (4 of 19): Al Green 9-14-72	
4	7	73-5890	James H. Finley (5 of 19): James Brown	
4	7	73-5890	James H. Finley (6 of 19): SCLC Benefit show 10-22-70	
4	7	73-5890	James H. Finley (7 of 19): Soul Production 1972-1973	
4	7	73-5890	James H. Finley (8 of 19): Soul Production, Inc. (Ticket Inventory)	
4	7	73-5890	James H. Finley (9 of 19): Temptations Concert 1-25-73	
4	7	73-5890	James H. Finley (10 of 19): CORE Show 9-5-70	
4	7	73-5890	James H. Finley (11 of 19): Jackson Five 1-3-71	
4	7	73-5890	James H. Finley (12 of 19): Issac Hayes Show	
4	7	73-5890	James H. Finley (13 of 19): CR.15,934 Extortion (18 USC 1951)	
4	7	73-5890	James H. Finley (14 of 19): CR-15,933 Tax Evasion (26 USC 7206[1])	
4	7	73-5890	James H. Finley (15 of 19): Motions Not Filed	
4	7	73-5890	James H. Finley (16 of 19): CR-15,933 Conspiracy - Heroin (21 USC 841)	
not filmed	7	73-5890	James H. Finley (17 of 19): Clippings	Folder was not filmed because it contains only newspaper clippings.
4	7	73-5890	James H. Finley (18 of 19): Unlabeled file	
4	7	73-5890	James H. Finley (19 of 19): Appeal	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
4	7	73-5931	Link Society	
4	7	73-5986	Preston Anderson <i>et al</i> v. Mobile County Commissioners <i>et al</i>	

Part 1: Vernon Z. Crawford Records, 1958-1978: Civil Rights Cases

Reel	Box	Case	Folder Title	Display Comments
4	7	74-6466	Willie Brown v. ALCOA, Inc.	
4	7	74-6471	City of Prichard v. Kenneth Lovett (aka Brother Kenneth X)	
5	7	75-6876	Cannon et al v. Greyhound Bus Lines (1 of 4)	
5	7	75-6876	Cannon et al v. Greyhound Bus Lines (2 of 4)	
5	7	75-6876	Cannon et al v. Greyhound Bus Lines (3 of 4)	
5	7	75-6876	Cannon et al v. Greyhound Bus Lines (4 of 4)	
5	8	59-573	State of Alabama v. Sam Smith (1 of 4)	
5	8	59-573	State of Alabama v. Sam Smith (2 of 4)	
5	8	59-573	State of Alabama v. Sam Smith (3 of 4)	
not filmed	8	59-573	State of Alabama v. Sam Smith (4 of 4)	Folder was not filmed because it contains only newspaper clippings.
5	8	61-988	State of Alabama v. Willie Seals (1 of 5)	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
5	8	61-988	State of Alabama v. Willie Seals (2 of 5)	
5	8	61-988	State of Alabama v. Willie Seals (3 of 5)	
5	8	61-988	State of Alabama v. Willie Seals (4 of 5)	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
5	8	61-988	State of Alabama v. Willie Seals (5 of 5)	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
6	8	62-1363	Clarence Crayton	
6	8	64-1962	Nathaniel Taylor (1 of 9)	
6	8	64-1962	Nathaniel Taylor (2 of 9): Witnesses	
6	8	64-1962	Nathaniel Taylor (3 of 9): Sanity	
6	8	64-1962	Nathaniel Taylor (4 of 9): Reports	
6	8	64-1962	Nathaniel Taylor (5 of 9): Soliciting "Appeal"	
6	8	64-1962	Nathaniel Taylor (6 of 9): Pleadings	
6	8	64-1962	Nathaniel Taylor (7 of 9): Confessions	
6	8	64-1962	Nathaniel Taylor (8 of 9): Photos/Clippings	Newspaper clippings were not filmed.
6	8	64-1962	Nathaniel Taylor (9 of 9): Correspondence	
6	8	65-2338	Tyrone N. Collins	Newspaper clippings were not filmed.
6	8	66-2605	Braggs, Bridges, Brown (1 of 5): Witnesses	

Part 1: Vernon Z. Crawford Records, 1958-1978: Civil Rights Cases

Reel	Box	Case	Folder Title	Display Comments
6	8	66-2605	Braggs, Bridges, Brown (2 of 5): Facts	
6	8	66-2605	Braggs, Bridges, Brown (3 of 5): Law	
6	8	66-2605	Braggs, Bridges, Brown (4 of 5): Correspondence/News	Newspaper clippings were not filmed.
6	8	66-2605	Braggs, Bridges, Brown (5 of 5): Motions	
6	8	66-2619	State of Alabama v. Climmie McCants (1 of 10): Loose in Binder	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
6	8	66-2619	State of Alabama v. Climmie McCants (2 of 10): Appeals	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
6	8	66-2619	State of Alabama v. Climmie McCants (3 of 10): Appeals	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
6	8	66-2619	State of Alabama v. Climmie McCants (4 of 10): Motions	
6	8	66-2619	State of Alabama v. Climmie McCants (5 of 10): Witnesses	
6	8	66-2619	State of Alabama v. Climmie McCants (6 of 10): News	Newspaper clippings were not filmed.
6	8	66-2619	State of Alabama v. Climmie McCants (7 of 10): Medical and Evidence	
6	8	66-2619	State of Alabama v. Climmie McCants (8 of 10): Confession	
6	8	66-2619	State of Alabama v. Climmie McCants (9 of 10)	
6	8	66-2619	State of Alabama v. Climmie McCants (10 of 10): Correspondence	
6	9	70-3903	Thigpen, Finley & Beasley (1 of 2)	
6	9	70-3903	Thigpen, Finley & Beasley (2 of 2)	Newspaper clippings were not filmed.
6	9	77-8682	Charles Smith (1 of 8)	
6	9	77-8682	Charles Smith (2 of 8): Jury Lists/Voir Dire	
6	9	77-8682	Charles Smith (3 of 8): Witness Statements; Investigation Notes; Subpoena Lists	
6	9	77-8682	Charles Smith (4 of 8): Press Clippings and General Correspondence	Newspaper clippings were not filmed.
6	9	77-8682	Charles Smith (5 of 8): Loose Items in Binder	
6	9	77-8682	Charles Smith (6 of 8): Police Investigation, Autopsy Report, Lab Reports, etc.	
6	9	77-8682	Charles Smith (7 of 8): Closing Arguments and Clippings	Newspaper clippings were not filmed.
6	9	77-8682	Charles Smith (8 of 8): Motions, etc	

Part 2: Selections from the Blacksher, Menefee and Stein Records
Bolden v. City of Mobile

Reel	Box	Folder Title	Display Comments
1	1	Wiley L. Bolden, <i>et al</i> v. City of Mobile, <i>et al</i> : After Remand, Vol. I Plaintiff's Trial Exhibits from May 1981	Resumes were not filmed for privacy concerns.
1	1	Wiley L. Bolden, <i>et al</i> v. City of Mobile, <i>et al</i> : After Remand, Vol. II Plaintiff's Trial Exhibits from May 1981	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
1	1	Bolden v. City of Mobile: After Remand, Defendant's Trial Exhibits from May 1981	Articles from <i>Mobile Republican</i> were not filmed. Please see inventory sheet on reel for list of articles.
1	1	Bolden v. City of Mobile: Blacksher's and Menefee's Notes During Litigation	
1	1	Boyes v. City of Mobile and Wiley L. Bolden	
1	1	Yeager's Mobile City Districts, January 1983	
not filmed	1	Government Exhibits 118-130	Folder was not filmed because contains only articles from <i>Mobile Daily Register</i> . Please see inventory sheet on reel for list of articles.
1	2	Plaintiff's Plan A (subfile of Bolden)	
not filmed	2	Press Register 1884	Folder was not filmed because it contains only newspaper clippings.
not filmed	2	Press Register 1911	Folder was not filmed because it contains only newspaper clippings.
1	2	Andrew Johnson Papers	
1	2	Bolden v. City of Mobile: Glen Browder, Defendant's Expert Witness	Resume and published articles were not filmed.
2	2	Bolden v. City of Mobile, No. 75-297-P: Wayne Flynt, Defendant's Expert Witness	Resume was not filmed for privacy concerns.
2	2	Bolden v. City of Mobile, No. 75-297-P: William H. Stewart, Defendant's Expert Witness	Resume and <i>Alabama Law Review</i> , Summer 1972 were not filmed.
2	2	Bolden v. City of Mobile: Jim Voyles, Defendant's Expert Witness	Resume and previously published articles were not filmed.
2	2	Bolden v. City of Mobile, No. 75-297-P: Chandler Davidson, Defendants' Expert Witness	
2-3	2	Bolden v. City of Mobile, No. 75-297-P: Depositions (1 of 2)	Resume was not filmed for privacy concerns.
3	2	Bolden v. City of Mobile, No. 75-297-P: Depositions (2 of 2)	Resume was not filmed for privacy concerns.
4	3	Bolden v. City of Mobile: 1981 Trial Documents, including Blacksher's Notes During Trial	Newspaper clippings were not filmed.
4	3	Bolden v. City of Mobile: Defendant's Exhibit #104, Trial May 1981 Federal Court, After Remand	
not filmed	3	Bolden v. City of Mobile, No. 75-297-P: First Trial Transcript, July 1976	Folder was not filmed.
not filmed	3	Bolden v. City of Mobile, No. 75-297-P: Joint Pre-Trial Document and Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, 1981 After	Folder was not filmed.

Part 2: Selections from the Blacksher, Menefee and Stein Records
Bolden v. City of Mobile

Reel	Box	Folder Title	Display Comments
not filmed	4	Legislative Bills (Introduced by Legislators in Mobile)	Folder was not filmed.
4	4	Notes, materials; re: different forms of government, submitted at meeting held in Mobile on 12/1982	
4	4	Nevett v. Sides	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
4	4	Bolden Research Materials	Supreme Court documents, appellate court documents, and previously published articles were not filmed.
5	4	City of Rome v. United States of America, No. 78-1840	
5	4	Charles Pharr (Job Discrimination File)	
5	4	Notes	"For Christ and Country" by Lambert C. Mims was not filmed.
5	4	Melton McLaurin File	Resume and previously published articles were not filmed.
5	4	Jack Friend File	
5	4	Bill Roberts File	
5	5	Bolden v. City of Mobile: Subpoenas	
5	5	Cort Schlichting File	Resume was not filmed for privacy concerns.
5	5	J. L. LeFlore - Personal File-Mobile City Bus Lines Drivers	
5	5	Albert Reese, Jr. (Job Discrimination File)	
5	5	City of Mobile Transportation File	
5	5	Bolden v. City of Mobile: Various Transcripts Proceedings	
6	5	Petition - Members - Mobile City Commission File: "Police Dept. Bias," April 7, 1975	
6	5	Municipal Airport Case File	
6	5	Computer Printout	
6	5	Charles L. Cottrell File	Previously published papers were not filmed.
6	5	Citizen Participation in Decision-Making Process: A Summary Report	
6	5	Creel v. Freeman: George H. Hallett Deposition	
6	5	Blacksher's Notes, 1976	
6	5	Maps	
6	5	Bolden Case Exhibits File: First Trial in Federal Court, July 1976	
6	5	Bolden v. City of Mobile: Possible Exhibits File	Resumes and previously published materials were not filmed.
not filmed	5	Primary Election Law File	Folder was not filmed.
6	5	Journal Articles File	Previously published articles were not filmed.
6	5	Blacksher's Notes, 1981	
not filmed	6	Brown and Bolden Trial Notes File	Folder was not filmed here. See reel 13.
6	6	Revenue Sharing File	
6	6	Edward Still	

Part 2: Selections from the Blacksher, Menefee and Stein Records
Bolden v. City of Mobile

Reel	Box	Folder Title	Display Comments
6	6	City Recreation File - Fiscal Year 1972-1973	
6	6	Scratch Plan File	
6	6	Bolden v. City of Mobile File	
7	6	Defendant's Performance Data File	Newspaper clippings were not filmed.
7	6	City Community Groups File	
7	6	City Streets File	Envelope of receipts was not filmed.
7	6	Memo in Support of Judge Pittman	
7	6	Miscellaneous Petitions and Complaints File	
7	6	Various Figures File	
7	6	Unlabeled [Road Paving/Radical Right in Mobile]	
7	6	Community Groups-County	
7	6	EEO-4 County File	
7	6	Anderson v Mobile County Commission Reference File	
7	6	Change of Government Resolution File, 1963	
7	6	City Election Stepwise Showing File, 1965	
7	6	City Election Stepwise Showing File, 1969	
7	6	Change of Government Resolution File, 1973	
7	6	Police Complaint File	
7	6	Non-Partisan Voters League File	
7	6	Voter Turnout File	
7	6	Poll Workers File	
7	6	Computer Results File	
7	6	School Board File	Folder not filmed (contains previously published materials and appellate court documents).
7	6	Shofner Materials File	
7	6	Hendrix v. Joseph File	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
7	6	County Revenue Sharing	
7	6	City Committees File	Private/personal information was not filmed.
not filmed	6	Case Exhibits	Folder was not filmed here. See reel 13.
8	6	Freedom of Information Request File	
8	7	Socio-economic Data	
not filmed	7	District Maps File	Folder was not found.
8	7	Bolden Transcript Notes	
8	7	Regional Facilities Plan (1 of 2)	
8	7	Regional Facilities Plan (2 of 2)	
8	7	Regional Facilities Plan - South Alabama Regional Planning Commission	
8	7	Land Use - South Alabama Regional Planning Commission	
8	7	Various Mobile City and County Maps (1 of 2)	
8	7	Various Mobile City and County Maps (2 of 2)	

Part 2: Selections from the Blacksher, Menefee and Stein Records
Bolden v. City of Mobile

Reel	Box	Folder Title	Display Comments
not filmed	8	Bolden v. City of Mobile File	Folder was not filmed because contains copyrighted material.
8	8	Bolden & USA v. City of Mobile, No. 75-297-P	
8	8	Copy of A Bill to be Entitled: An Act to Provide for a District Commission Form of Government in Any Class 2 Municipality	
8	8	Bolden File: Final Opinions and Orders Issued at Different Steps of Litigation	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
8	8	Bolden: Plaintiff's Briefs	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
8	8	Brown and Bolden: Regression Estimates, Post-Trial Evidence	
8	8	Draft of Legislative Bills	
8	8	City of Mobile Seven District Plan	
8	8	City of Mobile - Subtotals - Number of Registered Voters	
8	8	Bolden Drafts of Bills and Statutes	
9	8	Brown v. Mobile County	
9	8	School Board - Kennedy and Sonnier Bills	
9	8	Expanding Folder	
9	8	Brown and Bolden Post-Trial Evidence and Dan Alexander's Political Ad	
9	9	Bolden v. City of Mobile: Pleadings File 1 (1 of 3)	
9	9	Bolden v. City of Mobile: Pleadings File 1 (2 of 3)	
9	9	Bolden v. City of Mobile: Pleadings File 1 (3 of 3)	
9	9	Bolden v. City of Mobile: Pleadings File 2 (1 of 3)	
9	9	Bolden v. City of Mobile: Pleadings File 2 (2 of 3)	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
9	9	Bolden v. City of Mobile: Pleadings File 2 (3 of 3)	
9	9	Bolden v. City of Mobile: Pleadings File 3 (1 of 3)	
9	9	Bolden v. City of Mobile: Pleadings File 3 (2 of 3)	
9	9	Bolden v. City of Mobile: Pleadings File 3 (3 of 3)	
9	9	Bolden v. City of Mobile: Pleadings File 4 (1 of 2)	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
9	9	Bolden v. City of Mobile: Pleadings File 4 (2 of 2)	
9	9	Bolden v. City of Mobile: Pleadings File 5 (1 of 3)	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
9	9	Bolden v. City of Mobile: Pleadings File 5 (2 of 3)	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
9	9	Bolden v. City of Mobile: Pleadings File 5 (3 of 3)	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
10	9	Bolden v. City of Mobile: Pleadings File 6 (1 of 3)	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
10	9	Bolden v. City of Mobile: Pleadings File 6 (2 of 3)	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
10	9	Bolden v. City of Mobile: Pleadings File 6 (3 of 3)	
10	9	Bolden v. City of Mobile: Pleadings File 7 (1 of 3)	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.

Part 2: Selections from the Blacksher, Menefee and Stein Records
Bolden v. City of Mobile

Reel	Box	Folder Title	Display Comments
10	9	Bolden v. City of Mobile: Pleadings File 7 (2 of 3)	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
10	9	Bolden v. City of Mobile: Pleadings File 7 (3 of 3)	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
10	9	Bolden v. City of Mobile: Pleadings 1st INTERROG. & ANS thereto ONLY (1 of 3)	
10	9	Bolden v. City of Mobile: Pleadings 1st INTERROG. & ANS thereto ONLY(2 of 3)	
10	9	Bolden v. City of Mobile: Pleadings 1st INTERROG. & ANS thereto ONLY(3 of 3)	
10	10	Bolden v. City of Mobile: Pleadings File 8 (1 of 3)	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
10	10	Bolden v. City of Mobile: Pleadings File 8 (2 of 3)	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
10	10	Bolden v. City of Mobile: Pleadings File 8 (3 of 3)	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
10	10	Bolden v. City of Mobile: Pleadings File 9 (1 of 3)	
10	10	Bolden v. City of Mobile: Pleadings File 9 (2 of 3)	Resumes were not filmed for privacy concerns.
10	10	Bolden v. City of Mobile: Pleadings File 9 (3 of 3)	
11	10	Bolden v. City of Mobile: Pleadings File 10 (1 of 3)	
11	10	Bolden v. City of Mobile: Pleadings File 10 (2 of 3)	
11	10	Bolden v. City of Mobile: Pleadings File 10 (3 of 3)	
11	10	Bolden v. City of Mobile: Pleadings File 11 (1 of 3)	
11	10	Bolden v. City of Mobile: Pleadings File 11 (2 of 3)	
11	10	Bolden v. City of Mobile: Pleadings File 11 (3 of 3)	
11	10	Bolden v. City of Mobile: Pleadings File 12 (1 of 3)	
11	10	Bolden v. City of Mobile: Pleadings File 12 (2 of 3)	
11	10	Bolden v. City of Mobile: Pleadings File 12 (3 of 3)	
11	10	Bolden v. City of Mobile: Pleadings File 13 (1 of 3)	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
11	10	Bolden v. City of Mobile: Pleadings File 13 (2 of 3)	
11	10	Bolden v. City of Mobile: Pleadings File 13 (3 of 3)	
11	11	Bolden: Plaintiff's Plan A	
11	11	Bolden: City's Proposed Districts	
11	11	Bolden: Plaintiffs' Plan A: Plaintiff's Proposed Districts	
not filmed	11	Bolden Newspaper Clippings (1 of 3)	Folder was not filmed because it contains only newspaper clippings.
not filmed	11	Bolden Newspaper Clippings (2 of 3)	Folder was not filmed because it contains only newspaper clippings.
not filmed	11	Bolden Newspaper Clippings (3 of 3)	Folder was not filmed because it contains only newspaper clippings.
not filmed	11	Newspaper Clippings - Voting Cases – Bolden	Folder was not filmed because it contains only newspaper clippings.
not filmed	11	Newspaper Articles	Folder was not filmed because it contains only newspaper clippings.
not filmed	11	Bolden/Brown Newspaper Clippings	Folder was not filmed because it contains only newspaper clippings.

Part 2: Selections from the Blacksher, Menefee and Stein Records
Bolden v. City of Mobile

Reel	Box	Folder Title	Display Comments
not filmed	11	Bolden: Amicus Briefs Filed by Different Parties	Folder was not filmed because it contains only Supreme Court and appellate court documents.
not filmed	11	Bolden: Defendant's Briefs	Folder was not filmed because it contains only Supreme Court and appellate court documents.
11	12	Bolden v. City of Mobile, No. 76-4210 and 77-2042: Volume 1 and 2 of Appendix	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
11	12	Expanding file folder: Bolden and Brown Attorney Fee Issue	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
11	12	Bolden v. City of Mobile, No. 75-297-P: Defendant's Attorney Fees and Expenses	
12	12	Bolden v. City of Mobile, No. 75-297-P: Plaintiff's Motion for An Away of Attorney Fees and Expenses	
12	12	Bolden v. City of Mobile, No. 75-297-P and 75-298-P: Exhibits and Notes	
12	12	Bolden v. City of Mobile, No. 75-297-P: Order on Attorneys Fees and Expenses	
not filmed	12	Bolden v. City of Mobile: Newspaper Clippings	Folder was not filmed because it contains only newspaper clippings.
not filmed	12	Bolden v. City of Mobile: Newspaper Clippings, Vol. 3	Folder was not filmed because it contains only newspaper clippings.

Part 2: Selections from the Blacksher, Menefee and Stein Records
Brown v. Moore

Reel	Box	Folder Title	Display Comments
12	13	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: Deposition of Gary Cooper, July 6, 1976	
12	13	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: Deposition of Charles Cottrell, May 28, 1976	
12	13	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: Deposition of Charles Cottrell, June 30, 1976	
12	13	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: Deposition of Cain J. Kennedy, July 2, 1976	
12	13	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: Testimony of Robert S. Edington, July 2, 1976	
12	13	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: Transcript, April 26, 1982	
13	13	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: Transcript, U. S. District Court, May 23, 1977	
13	13	Brown and Bolden Trial Notes File	
13	13	Case Exhibits	
13	13	Chapter VII, "Bosses and Businessmen: The Fight For Commission Government in Mobile, 1910-1911"	
13	13	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: Trial Testimony, September 9, 1976	
13	13	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: Trial Testimony, September 10, 1976	
13	13	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: Trial Testimony, September 13, 1976	
13	13	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: Trial Testimony, September 14, 1976	
14	13	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: Trial Testimony, September 15, 1976	
14	13	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: Trial Testimony, September 16, 1976	
14	13	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: Trial Testimony, September 17, 1976	
14	13	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: Order on Selection of School Board Chairman and on Plaintiff's Motion to Enjoin New Board Policies, Etc., U.S. District Court	
14	13	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: Appearances by Larry Menefee, Edward Still, J. Gerald Hebert, Robert C. Campbell, III, and James C. Wood, July 16, 1982	
14	13	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: Opinion and Order As to the County Commissioners of Mobile County	
14	13	Brown v. Moore: Notes and Correspondence	
14	13	Brown v. Moore: Transcript, December 17, 1980	
14	13	Brown v. Moore: Policies of the Board of School Commissioners for the Public Schools of Mobile County, Alabama, August 1974	
14	13	Brown v. Moore: Policies of the Board of School Commissioners for the Public Schools of Mobile County, Alabama, October 1978	
14	14	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: Amendment to the Order on Plaintiff's Motion to Show Cause Why School Board Members Should Not Be Held in Contempt, October 20, 1978, U.S. District Court	
14	14	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: Opinion and Order As to the Board of School Commissioners of Mobile County, U.S. District Court	

Part 2: Selections from the Blacksher, Menefee and Stein Records
Brown v. Moore

Reel	Box	Folder Title	Display Comments
14	14	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: Style of Cause	
14	14	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: Opinion and Order As to the County Commissioners of Mobile County	
14	14	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: Notes and Correspondence.	
15	14	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: County Redistricting Plan, November 21, 1983	
15	14	School Board Plan Revised, August 1983	
not filmed	14	Constitution and Statutes File	Folder was not filmed.
15	14	Second Arguments File	
15	14	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: Opinion and Order As to the County Commissioners of Mobile County, U.S. District Court	
15	14	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: Plaintiff's Brief in Support of Proposal of Relief, U.S. District Court	
15	14	Brown v. Moore Law File	
15	14	Brown Historical/Statutory Research File	
15	14	Legislative Bill File: House Bill 951 and Substitute	
15	14	1965 VRA Legislative History File	
15	14	Brown - Board Minutes, October 14, 1981: Verbatim Transcripts	
15	14	Apportionment Plan, Mobile County School Board: Plaintiff's Exhibit C, June 21, 1982	
not filmed	14	Brown v. Moore, No. 80-7610	Folder was not found.
15	14	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P and 75-297-H: Interrogatory Answers	
not filmed	14	Brown Research	Folder was not filmed because it contains only Supreme Court and appellate court documents.
15	14	Brown F.O.F./C.O.L. Materials	
15	14	Brown v. Moore: Notes	
15	14	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: Examination of Dan Alexander, Hiram Bosarge, and Homer Sessions	
15	14	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: Testimony Concerning School Plan	
16	15	Brown v Moore, No. 75-298-P: Examination of Robert Edington, Irving Silver, Sam Pipes, Dwight Reid, Joseph Matranga, Mylan Engel, Edward Thornton and James U. Blacksher	
16	15	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: Testimony (1 of 2)	
16	15	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: Testimony (2 of 2)	
16	15	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: Deposition of James A. Harris	
16	15	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: Deposition of Edward Malcolm Friend, III	
not filmed	15	Brown v. Moore, No. 77-2507: Appellant's Supplemental Brief	Folder was not filmed because it contains only Supreme Court and appellate court documents.
not filmed	15	Brown v. Moore, No. 77-2507: Appellant's Reply brief	Folder was not filmed because it contains only Supreme Court and appellate court documents.

Part 2: Selections from the Blacksher, Menefee and Stein Records
Brown v. Moore

Reel	Box	Folder Title	Display Comments
not filmed	15	Brown v. Moore, No. 77-2507: Defendant's Supplemental Brief	Folder was not filmed because it contains only Supreme Court and appellate court documents.
not filmed	15	Brown v. Moore, No. 77-2507: Defendant's Brief	Folder was not filmed because it contains only Supreme Court and appellate court documents.
not filmed	15	Brown v. Moore, No. 77-1583: Appendix, Vols. 1-11	Folder was not filmed because it contains only Supreme Court and appellate court documents.
not filmed	15	Brown v. Moore and Williams, No. 77-1583: Supplemental Brief of Plaintiffs-Appellees Supporting Motion for Reaffirmance on Remand	Folder was not filmed because it contains only Supreme Court and appellate court documents.
not filmed	15	Brown v. Moore and Williams, No. 77-1583: Reply Brief of Plaintiffs-Appellants, Court of Appeals	Folder was not filmed because it contains only Supreme Court and appellate court documents.
not filmed	15	Brown v. Moore and Williams, No. 77-1583: Brief of Plaintiffs-Appellees, Cross-Appellants, Court of Appeals	Folder was not found.
not filmed	15	Brown v. Moore and Williams, No. 77-1583: Reply Brief of Plaintiffs-Cross Appellants, Court of Appeals	Folder was not filmed because it contains only Supreme Court and appellate court documents.
not filmed	15	Brown v. Moore and Williams, No. 77-1583: Brief of Defendants-Appellants, Cross-Appellees	Folder was not filmed because it contains only Supreme Court and appellate court documents.
not filmed	15	Brown v. Moore and Williams, No. 77-1583: Reply Brief of Defendants-Appellants	Folder was not filmed because it contains only Supreme Court and appellate court documents.
not filmed	15	Brown v. Moore and Williams, No. 77-1583: Jurisdictional Statement, Supreme Court	Folder was not filmed because it contains only Supreme Court and appellate court documents.
not filmed	16	Robert R. Williams v. Leila G. Brown, No. 78-357: Transcript, Supreme Court, March 19, 1979	Folder was not filmed because it contains only Supreme Court and appellate court documents.
not filmed	16	Williams v. Brown, No. 78-357: Motion to Affirm, Supreme Court, October 1978	Folder was not filmed because it contains only Supreme Court and appellate court documents.
not filmed	16	Williams v. Brown, No. 78-357: Appellee's Brief, Supreme Court, October, 1978	Folder was not filmed because it contains only Supreme Court and appellate court documents.
not filmed	16	Williams v. Brown, No. 78-357: Supplemental Brief for Appellants on Reargument, Supreme Court, October, 1979, Appendix A	Folder was not filmed because it contains only Supreme Court and appellate court documents.
not filmed	16	Williams v. Brown, No. 78-357: Appellee's Brief, Supreme Court, October 1978	Folder was not filmed because it contains only Supreme Court and appellate court documents.
not filmed	16	Williams v. Brown, No. 78-357: Appellant's Brief, Supreme Court, December 1978	Folder was not filmed because it contains only Supreme Court and appellate court documents.
16	16	Letter from Menefee to Dennis Dimsey, November 29, 1973	
not filmed	16	Moore v Brown, No. 78-357: Application for Stay of Elections and Stay of Civil Contempt Sanctions Pending Appeal, Supreme Court	Folder was not filmed because it contains only Supreme Court and appellate court documents.
not filmed	16	Williams v. Brown, No. 78-357: Motion to Affirm, Supreme Court, October 1978 (1 of 2)	Folder was not filmed because it contains only Supreme Court and appellate court documents.

Part 2: Selections from the Blacksher, Menefee and Stein Records
Brown v. Moore

Reel	Box	Folder Title	Display Comments
not filmed	16	Williams v. Brown, No. 78-357: Motion to Affirm, Supreme Court, October 1978 (2 of 2)	Folder was not filmed because it contains only Supreme Court and appellate court documents.
not filmed	16	Motion to Affirm, Supreme Court, October 1978	Folder was not filmed because it contains only Supreme Court and appellate court documents.
not filmed	16	Williams v. Brown, No. 78-357: Appellant's Brief, Supreme Court, December 1978	Folder was not filmed because it contains only Supreme Court and appellate court documents.
not filmed	16	Williams v. Brown, No. 78-357: Reply Brief for Appellants, Supreme Court, March 1979	Folder was not filmed because it contains only Supreme Court and appellate court documents.
not filmed	16	Williams v. Brown, No. 78-357: Supplemental Brief for Appellants on Reargument, Supreme Court, October 1979	Folder was not filmed because it contains only Supreme Court and appellate court documents.
not filmed	16	Williams v. Brown, No. 78-357: Appendix Vol. 1, pp. 1a - 322a; Vol. 2, pp. 322a - 540a, Supreme Court	Folder was not filmed because it contains only Supreme Court and appellate court documents.
not filmed	16	Brown & USA v. Board, No. 82-7130: Hearing After Remand from the Court of Civil Appeals, Vol. I	Folder was not filmed because it contains only Supreme Court and appellate court documents.
not filmed	16	Brown & USA v. Board, No. 82-7130: Hearing After Remand from the Court of Civil Appeals, Vol. II	Folder was not filmed because it contains only Supreme Court and appellate court documents.
not filmed	16	Brown & USA v. Board, No. 84-7412: Brief of Defendants-Appellants, Court of Appeals	Folder was not filmed because it contains only Supreme Court and appellate court documents.
not filmed	16	Brown & USA v. Board, No. 84-7412: Record Excerpts, Court of Appeals	Folder was not filmed because it contains only Supreme Court and appellate court documents.
not filmed	16	Brown & USA v. Board, No. 84-7412: Brief for the U.S. As Appellee, Court of Appeals	Folder was not filmed because it contains only Supreme Court and appellate court documents.
not filmed	16	Brown & USA v. Board, No. 84-7412: Brief of Plaintiffs-Appellees, Court of Appeals	Folder was not filmed because it contains only Supreme Court and appellate court documents.
not filmed	16	Brown & USA v. Board, No. 83-7459: Brief of Defendants-Appellants, Court of Appeals	Folder was not filmed because it contains only Supreme Court and appellate court documents.
not filmed	16	Brown & USA v. Board, No. 83-7459: Appellee's Opposition to the Emergency Motion for Stay, Court of Appeals	Folder was not filmed because it contains only Supreme Court and appellate court documents.
not filmed	16	Board v Brown, No. 83-334: Supreme Court's Judgment Affirmed and Jurisdictional Statement	Folder was not filmed because it contains only Supreme Court and appellate court documents.
not filmed	16	Brown v Board, No. 82-7236: Record Excerpts	Folder was not filmed because it contains only Supreme Court and appellate court documents.
16	17	Brown v Board, No. 75-298-P: Deposition of Norman Berger, January 14, 1981	
16	17	Brown v Board, No. 75-298-P: Testimony of Peyton McCrary, March 13, 1981	
16	17	Brown v Board, No. 75-298-P: Deposition of Ruth Drago, January 14, 1981	

Part 2: Selections from the Blacksher, Menefee and Stein Records
Brown v. Moore

Reel	Box	Folder Title	Display Comments
16	17	Brown & USA v. Board, No. 75-298-P: Transcript of Appearances by Edward Still, J. Gerald Hebert, Robert C. Campbell, James C. Wood, and Richard D. Horne	
16	17	Brown & USA v. Board, No. 75-298-P: Testimony of George E. Stone, Jr., March 24, 1981	
16	17	Brown & USA v. Board, No. 75-298-P: Testimony of Abram Philips, March 24, 1981	
16	17	Brown & USA v. Board, No. 75-298-P: Deposition of Nat Sonnier, December 9, 1980	
16	17	Brown & USA v. Board, No. 75-298-P: Deposition of Mayer W. Perloff, November 5, 1980	
16	17	Brown & USA v. Board, No. 75-298-P: Deposition of J. Thomas Sandusky, December 29, 1980	
17	17	Brown & USA v. Board, No. 75-298-P: Deposition of J. Morgan Kousser, March 16, 1981	
17	17	Brown & USA v. Board, No. 75-298-P: Deposition of Jerrell H. Shofner, March 13, 1981	
17	17	Brown & USA v. Board, No. 75-298-P: Deposition of Douglas Johnstone, March 5, 1980	
17	17	Brown & USA v. Board, No. 75-298-P: Deposition of Chandler Davidson, March 16, 1981	
17	17	Brown v Board, No. 75-298-P: Trial Transcript, April 1981	
not filmed	17	<i>Supreme Court Reporter</i> , Vol. 98, April 1, 1978, No. 11	Folder was not filmed because it contains only <i>Supreme Court Reporter</i> .
17	17	Black Population Distribution and Trends; Black Economic Gains; Black Voting Influence; and Support Calculations: Summary	
18	17	Brown v Board: Trial Exhibits File	
18	18	Brown v Board, No. 75-298-P: Opinion Issued by Judge Pittman After Remand, April 15, 1982	
18	18	Brown v. Board, No. 75-298-P: Transcript of School Board Meeting, May - July 1980	
18	18	Brown v. Board, No. 75-298-P	
18	18	Brown v. Moore Briefs File: Brown & US v. Board, No. 75-298-P	
18	18	Brown v. Board Exhibits File	
18	18	Brown: Appendix B - "Analysis of Plaintiff's Plan for School Board"	
18	18	Briefs Relating to Brown: Brown v. Board	
19	18	Brown v. Board: Government Trial Exhibits (numbers 3 - 50, excluding 12)	
19	18	Brown v. Board: Government Trial Exhibits (numbers 51-55)	
19	18	Brown v. Board: Trial Notes for Trial in District Court, April 13 - 17, 1981	
19	18	Brown v. Board, No. 75-298-P	
not filmed	18	Brown & USA v. Board: Emergency Motion for Stay, Appeals Court	Folder was not filmed because it contains only Supreme Court and appellate court documents.
19	18	Brown v. Board, No. 75-298-P: Larry Menefee Affidavit re: Motion for Award of Attorneys Fees for Kenneth L. Thomas	
19	18	Brown v. Board, No. 75-298-P: Notes	

Part 2: Selections from the Blacksher, Menefee and Stein Records
Brown v. Moore

Reel	Box	Folder Title	Display Comments
not filmed	19	School Board Newspaper Clippings	Folder was not filmed because it contains only newspaper clippings.
19	19	Brown v Board, No. 75-298-P: Witness List	
19	19	Brown v Board, No. 75-298-P: Defendant's Witness List	
19	19	Brown and the USA v. Board, No. 75-298-P: Notice of Deposition: Peyton McCrary and Jerrell Shofner	
19	19	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: Civil Docket Continuation Sheet	
19	19	Brown v. BSC: Short Synopsis	
19	19	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: List of Exhibits for Defendants Williams, Alexander, Berger, Drago and Sessions	
19	19	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: List of Exhibits for Defendants Moore, Mandeville, Purvis, Yeager and Haas	
19	19	Brown v. Board: Chronology	
19	19	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: Plaintiff's Motion for an Amend of Attorneys' Fees and Expenses	
not filmed	19	Barbara Blum c Elen Stenson, No. 81-1374: Brief for Amici Curiae Oliver Hill, Daniel B. Edelman, Julius L. Chambers, Alice Beasley, Elizabeth Julian, Herbert Teitelbaum and Judson H. Miner in favor of Affirmance, Supreme Court	Folder was not filmed because it contains only Supreme Court and appellate court documents.
not filmed	19	Brown v. Moore, Newspaper Articles	Folder was not filmed because it contains only newspaper clippings.
19	19	Brown v. Moore, No. 83-7459, Record Excerpts, Court of Appeals	
20	19	Brown v. Moore, No. ?: Defendant's First Set of Continuing Interrogatories and Request for Production of Plaintiffs Regarding Attorney's Fees	
20	19	Brown v. Moore, No. ?: Notes and Correspondence	
20	19	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P-S: Defendants' Attorneys Fees and Expenses	
20	19	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P-S: Defendants' Attorneys Fees and Expenses	
20	19	Brown v. Moore, No. ?: Docket Sheet (District Court)	
20	20	Brown v. Board, No. 75-298-P: Discovery Originals Only	
20	20	Brown v. Board, No. 75-298-P: Attorney Fee File #1, December 9, 1976 - April 30, 1984 (1 of 3)	
20	20	Brown v. Board, No. 75-298-P: Attorney Fee File #1, December 9, 1976 - April 30, 1984 (2 of 3)	
20	20	Brown v. Board, No. 75-298-P: Attorney Fee File #1, December 9, 1976 - April 30, 1984 (3 of 3)	
21	20	Brown v. Board, No. 75-298-P: Attorney Fee File #2, May 1, 1984 (1 of 3)	
21	20	Brown v. Board, No. 75-298-P: Attorney Fee File #2, May 1, 1984 (2 of 3)	
21	20	Brown v. Board, No. 75-298-P: Attorney Fee File #2, May 1, 1984 (3 of 3)	
21	20	Brown Attorney Fee File: Pleadings File #1, December 8, 1976 - June 21, 1983 (1 of 3)	
21	20	Brown Attorney Fee File: Pleadings File #1, December 8, 1976 - June 21, 1983 (2 of 3)	
21	20	Brown Attorney Fee File: Pleadings File #1, December 8, 1976 - June 21, 1983 (3 of 3)	
21	20	Brown Attorney Fee File: Pleadings File #2, June 22, 1983 (1 of 3)	

Part 2: Selections from the Blacksher, Menefee and Stein Records
Brown v. Moore

Reel	Box	Folder Title	Display Comments
21	20	Brown Attorney Fee File: Pleadings File #2, June 22, 1983 (2 of 3)	
21	20	Brown Attorney Fee File: Pleadings File #2, June 22, 1983 (3 of 3)	
21	20	Brown Attorney Fee Issue, No. 77-2507: Plaintiff's Briefs Only	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
21	20	Brown Docket Sheets	
21	20	Cort Schlichting	
21	20	Para-Legal	
21	20	Hand, Arendall Fees	
21	20	Brown Attorney Fee Issue, No. 77-2507: Defendant's Briefs Only	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
not filmed	20	Expanding File Folder: Briefs Only (In the 11th Circuit): Brown v. Board, No. 82-7130	Folder was not filmed because it contains only Supreme Court and appellate court documents.
21	20	Board of School Commissioners Transcript, April 25, 1984	
21	21	Brown & USA v. Board, No. 75-298-P: File #12, May 1982 - September 13, 1982, Court of Appeals (1 of 3)	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
21	21	Brown & USA v. Board, No. 75-298-P: File #12, May 1982 - September 13, 1982, Court of Appeals (2 of 3)	
21	21	Brown & USA v. Board, No. 75-298-P: File #12, May 1982 - September 13, 1982, Court of Appeals (3 of 3)	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
22	21	Brown & USA v. Board, No. 75-298-P: File #13, September 15, 1982 - August 14, 1983, Court of Appeals (1 of 3)	
22	21	Brown & USA v. Board, No. 75-298-P: File #13, September 15, 1982 - August 14, 1983, Court of Appeals (2 of 3)	
22	21	Brown & USA v. Board, No. 75-298-P: File #13, September 15, 1982 - August 14, 1983, Court of Appeals (3 of 3)	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
22	21	Brown & USA v. Board, No. 75-298-P: File #14, August 15, 1983 - June 15, 1984 (1 of 3)	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
22	21	Brown & USA v. Board, No. 75-298-P: File #14, August 15, 1983 - June 15, 1984 (2 of 3)	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
22	21	Brown & USA v. Board, No. 75-298-P: File #14, August 15, 1983 - June 15, 1984 (3 of 3)	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
22	21	Brown & USA v. Board, No. 75-298-P: File #15, July 7, 1984	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
22	22	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: File #1, Supplemental Answers of Plaintiff to Defendant's Interrogatories (1 of 3)	
22	22	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: File #1, Supplemental Answers of Plaintiff to Defendant's Interrogatories (2 of 3)	
22	22	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: File #1, Supplemental Answers of Plaintiff to Defendant's Interrogatories (3 of 3)	
22	22	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: File #2, Misc. Material (1 of 2)	Resumes were not filmed for privacy concerns.
22	22	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: File #2, Misc. Material (2 of 2)	Resumes were not filmed for privacy concerns.
22	22	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: File #3, Misc. Material (1 of 3)	

Part 2: Selections from the Blacksher, Menefee and Stein Records
Brown v. Moore

Reel	Box	Folder Title	Display Comments
22	22	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: File #3, Misc. Material (2 of 3)	
22	22	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: File #3, Misc. Material (3 of 3)	
22	22	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: File #4, Misc. Material (1 of 3)	
22	22	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: File #4, Misc. Material (2 of 3)	
22	22	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: File #4, Misc. Material (3 of 3)	
22	22	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: File #5, Misc. Material (1 of 3)	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
22	22	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: File #5, Misc. Material (2 of 3)	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
23	22	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: File #5, Misc. Material (3 of 3)	
23	22	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: File #6, Misc. Material (1 of 3)	
23	22	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: File #6, Misc. Material (2 of 3)	Published documents, Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed
23	22	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: File #6, Misc. Material (3 of 3)	Newspaper clippings, Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
23	22	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: File #7, Misc. Material (1 of 2)	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
23	22	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: File #7, Misc. Material (2 of 2)	
23	22	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: File #8, Misc. Material (1 of 3)	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
23	22	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: File #8, Misc. Material (2 of 3)	Published documents, Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed
23	22	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: File #8, Misc. Material (3 of 3)	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
23	23	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: File #9, Misc. Material (1 of 3)	Resumes, published documents, Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed
23	23	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: File #9, Misc. Material (2 of 3)	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
23	23	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: File #9, Misc. Material (3 of 3)	Published documents, Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed
23	23	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: File #10, Misc. Material (1 of 3)	
23	23	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: File #10, Misc. Material (2 of 3)	
23	23	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: File #10, Misc. Material (3 of 3)	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
23	23	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: File #11, Misc. Material (1 of 3)	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
23	23	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: File #11, Misc. Material (2 of 3)	
23	23	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: File #11, Misc. Material (3 of 3)	Published documents were not filmed.
not filmed	23	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: Court of Appeals - Fifth and Eleventh circuits	Folder was not filmed because it contains only Supreme Court or appellate court documents.
not filmed	23	Brown v. Moore, No. 75-298-P: U.S. Supreme Court	Folder was not filmed because it contains only Supreme Court or appellate court documents.
24	24	Black Population Maps (by County)	

Part 2: Selections from the Blacksher, Menefee and Stein Records
Brown v. Moore

Reel	Box	Folder Title	Display Comments
24	24	Exhibit #56 County Commission Chart	
24	24	Exhibit #55 County Commission Chart	
24	24	Exhibit #54 School Board Chart	
24	24	Black Population Maps (by County) Transparencies	
24	24	Mobile County School Divisions Map (by Community)	
24	25	Black Population Maps (by County) Colored	
24	25	Map of Marion, AL	
24	25	1973 Map of Marion, AL	
24	25	March 1973 Map of Marion, AL	
24	25	Map of 1981-1982 AL Senatorial Districts	
24	25	School Service Area Maps	
24	26	Blank AL State Map County Lines only	
24	26	Map of AL	
24	26	Constitutional Amendments for 1977	
24	26	Democratic Primary Elections 1976	
24	26	ED Districts Mobile County	
24	26	Government Amendment Elections AL Copies 1974	
24	26	1974 Democratic Primary Elections	
24	26	1972 Democratic Primary Elections	
24	26	M70705 1970 Primaries	
24	26	M66M03 1966 Democratic Primary Elections	
24	26	Government Amendment Elections 1972	
24	26	1968 Primary Elections	
24	26	Enumeration Districts 1980 Census	

Part 2: Selections from the Blacksher, Menefee and Stein Records
Birdie Mae Davis et al v. Board of School Commissioners of Mobile County

Reel	Box	Folder Title	Display Comments
25	64	Birdie Mae Davis, Foster, and Buskey v. Board of School Commissioners of Mobile County: Consolidated Appeals 1 (file 1 of 3)	Published documents, Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
25	64	Birdie Mae Davis, Foster, and Buskey v. Board of School Commissioners of Mobile County: Consolidated Appeals 1 (file 2 of 3)	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
25	64	Birdie Mae Davis, Foster, and Buskey v. Board of School Commissioners of Mobile County: Consolidated Appeals 1 (file 3 of 3)	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
25	64	Birdie Mae Davis, Foster, and Buskey v. Board of School Commissioners of Mobile County: Consolidated Appeals 2 (file 1 of 3)	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
25	64	Birdie Mae Davis, Foster, and Buskey v. Board of School Commissioners of Mobile County: Consolidated Appeals 2 (file 2 of 3)	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
25	64	Birdie Mae Davis, Foster, and Buskey v. Board of School Commissioners of Mobile County: Consolidated Appeals 2 (file 3 of 3)	Resumes were not filmed.
25	64	Copies of Law Cases	Published documents, Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed
25	64	Davis v Board, No. 74-3894, 75-1312 and Buskey v. Board, No. 74-4257: Appendix - US Court of Appeals 5th Circuit	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
25	64	Davis, Foster, and Buskey v. Board: Consolidated Appeals, items 1 - 5	Resumes, Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
25	64	Davis, Foster, and Buskey v. Board: Consolidated Appeals, items 6 - 9	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
not filmed	64	Davis v. Board, No. 75-1312 and 75-1827: Brief for Plaintiffs: Appellants	Folder was not filmed because it contains only Supreme Court or appellate court documents.
25	64	Davis, USA, Foster v Board, No. 74-3894, 74-8484, 75-1312 and Buskey v. Board, No. 74-4257: Appendix	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
25	64	Davis, USA, Foster & Buskey v. Board, No.78-1078: Brief Amicus Curiae in Support of the Petition for Rehearing and Suggestion for Rehearing En Banc, Statement of Interests of Amicus	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
25	64	Davis, Foster v. Board, No. 78-1978: Appellees' Response to Petition for Rehearing and Suggestions for Rehearing En Banc	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
25	64	Davis, USA, Foster, Buskey v. Board, No.78-1078: Motion for Leave to File Brief Amicus Curiae in Support of Appellants' Petition for Rehearing and Suggestion for Rehearing En Banc and Brief Amicus Curiae on Behalf of the National Education Association	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
not filmed	64	Attorney Fee Appeal	Folder was not filmed because it contains only Supreme Court or appellate court documents.
not filmed	64	Board of School Commissioners: Transcript, April 25, 1984	Folder was not found.
25	64	Davis v Board, No. 3003-63: Transcript, December 8, 1976 (1 of 2)	
25	64	Davis v. Board, No. 3003-63: Transcript, December 8, 1976 (2 of 2)	
25	64	Davis v. Board, No. 3003-63: Transcript, February 3, 1977	

Part 2: Selections from the Blacksher, Menefee and Stein Records
Birdie Mae Davis et al v. Board of School Commissioners of Mobile County

Reel	Box	Folder Title	Display Comments
25	64	Davis, USA v. Board, No. 3003-63: Deposition of Henry Hurd Pope	
25	64	Davis, USA v. Board, No. 3003-63: Deposition of Dr. Richard L. LoDestro, June 23, 1976	
25	64	Davis, USA v. Board, No. 3003-63: Deposition of Bob Clardy, June 23, 1976	
25	65	Birdie Mae Davis file, No. 3003-63	
25	65	Davis v. Board: Record on Appeal	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
not filmed	65	Birdie Mae Davis: Record on Appeal, Vol. 1	Folder was not filmed as it contains only Court of Appeals material.
25	65	Correspondence (1 of 3)	
25	65	Correspondence (2 of 3)	Resumes were not filmed.
25	65	Correspondence (3 of 3)	
26	65	"Schools" red file	Resumes and newspaper clippings were not filmed.
26	65	Map	
not filmed	65	Davis v. Board, No. 3003-63	Folder was not filmed as it contains only Court of Appeals material.
26	65	Brief Drafts for 11th Circuit	
26	65	Teacher problems	Published material and private/personal material were not filmed.
26	65	Affidavits from various School Boards	
26	65	"History of the Litigation"	
26	65	Various Board of School Commissioners of Mobile County Documents	
26	65	Toulminville-Shaw	
26	65	Davis v. Board, No. 3003-63 - Supplemental Complaint	
26	65	Davis v. Board, No. 3003-63 - Plaintiff's Brief	
not filmed	65	Davis v. Board, No. 3003-63 - Court of Appeals, 5th Circuit	Folder was not filmed as it contained only Court of Appeals material.
26	65	Davis v. Board, No. 3003-63 - Desegregation Plan, Mobile School Board, 1969	
26	65	Davis v. Board, No. 3003-63 - Miscellaneous Legal Documents	
not filmed	65	Davis v. Board, No. 27949 - Brief and Addendum for Appellants	Folder was not filmed as it contained only Court of Appeals material.
not filmed	65	Davis v. Board, No. 28349 - Second Memorandum from US - Statistics	Folder was not filmed as it contained only Court of Appeals material.
27	66	Andry v. Board (related case to Birdie Mae Davis)	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
27	66	Loose Papers- regarding G. Buskey- 1982	
27	66	Gertrude Buskey-Titled File- Foster & Buskey v. Board v. Court of Appeals	Private/personal information was not filmed.
27	66	James Buskey- Contract Cancellation- File #1- 1978 (1 of 2)	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
27	66	James Buskey- Contract Cancellation- File #1- 1978 (2 of 2)	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
27	66	James Buskey- Contract Cancellation- File #2- 1978 (1 of 3)	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.

Part 2: Selections from the Blacksher, Menefee and Stein Records
Birdie Mae Davis et al v. Board of School Commissioners of Mobile County

Reel	Box	Folder Title	Display Comments
27	66	James Buskey- Contract Cancellation- File #2- 1978 (2 of 3)	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
27	66	James Buskey- Contract Cancellation- File #2- 1978 (3 of 3)	
not filmed	66	J. Buskey v. Board	Folder was not filmed as it contained only Court of Appeals material.
27	66	J. Buskey: Work papers	
not filmed	66	Buskey v. Board, No. 74-4257: An Appeal from the US District Court for the Southern Division of Alabama	Folder was not filmed as it contained only Court of Appeals material.
27	66	Letters	
27	66	Notes	
not filmed	66	Foster and Buskey v. Board, No. 74-4257: Brief of Defendants-Appellees	Folder was not filmed as it contained only Court of Appeals material.
not filmed	66	Foster and Buskey v. Board, No. 78-1078: Brief of Plaintiffs-Intervenors-Appellees	Folder was not filmed as it contained only Court of Appeals material.
27	66	Buskey v. Board, No. 74-298-H and 74-6367: Federal Court Action, 7-2-74 to 4-27-76 (1 of 2)	
27	66	Buskey v. Board, No. 74-298-H and 74-6367: Federal Court Action, 7-2-74 to 4-27-76 (2 of 2)	
not filmed	66	Personnel/Earnings	Folder was not filmed over concerns for privacy.
not filmed	66	Biographical Data	Folder was not filmed over concerns for privacy.
27	66	Buskey Appendix	
27	66	J. Buskey v. Board- 1974 (1 of 3)	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed.
27	66	J. Buskey v. Board- 1974 (2 of 3)	
27	66	J. Buskey v. Board- 1974 (3 of 3)	
not filmed	66	Birdie Mae Davis v. Board, US Court of Appeals-5th Circuit	Folder was not filmed as it contained only Court of Appeals material
not filmed	67	James Buskey v. Board, F4981 Court of Appeals	Folder was not filmed as it contained only Supreme Court and Court of Appeals material.
not filmed	67	Teacher Evaluations	Folder was not filmed over concerns for privacy.
28	68	Defendant's Exhibit L	
not filmed	68	Edwin Foster & James Buskey v. Board	Folder was not found.
28	68	Defendants Amended Response to Section IV of Court Order of September 3, 1981	
28-29	68	Regarding Objective Criteria for All Stage II Class Members and Successful Candidates	
29	68	Hall/Rain Material, Expanding File # 1	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed
29	68	Hall/Rain Material, Expanding File # 2	
29	68	Hall/Rain Material, Expanding File # 3	Supreme Court and appellate court documents were not filmed
30	69	Foster-Buskey: CMS Who Filed Untimely St. II Forms	
30	69	Davis v. Board , 89-09-171: Foster - Buskey, Deposition Notes	
30	69	Foster-Buskey: Research Re. Availability of Damages and Prehearing Brief	Previously published documents were not filmed.

Part 2: Selections from the Blacksher, Menefee and Stein Records
Birdie Mae Davis et al v. Board of School Commissioners of Mobile County

Reel	Box	Folder Title	Display Comments
30	69	Foster-Buskey: Material From II's Opposition to S/5s	Previously published documents were not filmed.
30	69	Foster-Buskey: Documents Produced by E. White at Deposition	Private/personal information was not filmed.
30	69	Foster-Buskey: Notes, Exhibits etc. from Class Cert. Hearing	Private/personal information was not filmed.
30	69	School Board	
30	69	Loose Material	Private/personal information was not filmed.
30	69	Possible Witness Material in Foster-Buskey	
30	69	Notes and Documents	Private/personal information was not filmed.
30	69	Regarding Stage I Central Office Staff Liability Proof	Private/personal information was not filmed.
30	69	Foster-Buskey: Subpoenaed Forms & Cover Letters	
30	69	Notes	
30	69	Audio Tapes: Meeting of Board of School Commissioners, March 24, 1982	
30	70	Clarke-Buskey: Bookkeeping	
31	70	Defendants' Response to Request for Production	Private/personal information was not filmed.
31	70	Foster-Buskey: Correspondence and Notes After Second Remand	
31	70	Audio Tapes: School Board Meeting, October 14, 1981 and Tape of S/5 Argument Before Magistrate, April 25, 1983, Transcripts and Minutes	
not filmed	70	Exhibits	Folder was not found.
not filmed	70	Foster-Buskey: Subpoenas	Folder was not filmed over concerns for privacy.
31	70	Buskey v. Board	
31	70	Foster-Buskey: Miscellaneous	Appellate material, private material and published material were not filmed
31	70	Foster-Buskey: Mailings	Private/personal information was not filmed.
not filmed	70	Foster-Buskey: Claim Forms	Folder was not filmed over concerns for privacy.
32-33	111	Reports to the Court	
33	111	Proposed Construction Projects	
33	111	Exchange of Documents and Corrections, re: Status of Compliance, Fall 1992 (1 of 2)	
33	111	Exchange of Documents and Corrections, re: Status of Compliance, Fall 1992 (2 of 2)	
33	111	O'Rourke Rezoning	
33	111	Kate Shepard Rezoning	
33	111	Chickasaw/Clarke Rezoning	
33	111	Facilities Survey/Building Plans	
33	111	Joint Status Report Regarding Compliance with Consent Decree	
33	111	Student Athletes at Magnet Schools	
33	111	Prep Material for Status Report to Court, July 1995 (1 of 2)	

Part 2: Selections from the Blacksher, Menefee and Stein Records
Birdie Mae Davis et al v. Board of School Commissioners of Mobile County

Reel	Box	Folder Title	Display Comments
33	111	Prep Material for Status Report to Court, July 1995 (2 of 2)	Previously published documents were not filmed.
33	112	Dr. Morse's Report to Court	
33	112	Office of Civil Rights Complaints by White Parents	
33	112	School Board Grant Proposal, re: Magnet Schools, May 1995	
34	112	Building Program, 1995	
34	112	Correspondence, re: Attorneys' Fees, Decree Monitors, School Improvements (1 of 4)	
34	112	Correspondence, re: Attorneys' Fees, Decree Monitors, School Improvements (2 of 4)	Private/personal information and previously published documents were not filmed.
34	112	Correspondence, re: Attorneys' Fees, Decree Monitors, School Improvements (3 of 4)	Private/personal information and newspaper clippings were not filmed.
34	112	Correspondence, re: Attorneys' Fees, Decree Monitors, School Improvements (4 of 4)	Private/personal information was not filmed.
not filmed	112	Newspaper Clippings	Folder was not filmed because it contains only newspaper clippings.
34	112	Pleadings File 1, Case No. 3003-63-H, June 25, 1987 - October 7, 1988 (1 of 3)	Private/personal information was not filmed.
34	112	Pleadings File 1, Case No. 3003-63-H, June 25, 1987 - October 7, 1988 (2 of 3)	
34	112	Pleadings File 1, Case No. 3003-63-H, June 25, 1987 - October 7, 1988 (3 of 3)	
35	112	Pleadings File 2, Case No. 3003-63-H, October 20, 1988 - June 26, 1991 (1 of 3)	Court of Appeals documents were not filmed.
35	112	Pleadings File 2, Case No. 3003-63-H, October 20, 1988 - June 26, 1991 (2 of 3)	
35	112	Pleadings File 2, Case No. 3003-63-H, October 20, 1988 - June 26, 1991 (3 of 3)	
35	113	Testimony: Myrna Bayne, January 10, 1997	
35	113	Testimony: Roy Bearden, January 10, 1997	
35	113	Testimony: Curtis A. Douglas Jr., January 10, 1997	
35	113	Testimony: Jennifer Grogan, January 10, 1997	
35	113	Testimony: Earnestine L. Jackson, January 9, 1997	
35	113	Testimony: William Lawrence, January 10, 1997	
35	113	Testimony: Fred A. Marshall, January 9, 1997	
35	113	Testimony: Freddie M. Sigler, January 9, 1997	
35	113	Testimony: Dr. Kathryn Starkey, January 9, 1997	
35	113	Testimony: Carolyn Lee Taylor, January 9, 1997	
35	113	Index to Testimony of Jennifer Grogan, January 10, 1997	
35	113	Index to Testimony of William Lawrence, January 10, 1997	
35	113	Index to Testimony of Kathryn Starkey and Earnestine Jackson, January 9, 1997	
35	113	Index to Testimony of Fred Marshall, Caroline Taylor, and Freddie Sigler, January 9, 1997	
35	113	Objection to Stipulation and Order of Dismissal and Agreement, Case 3003-63-H	Private/personal information was not filmed.
35	113	Objectors' Brief in Support of Their Objections to the Preliminarily Approved Stipulation and Order of Dismissal and Agreement, Case 3003-63-H	Private/personal information was not filmed.

Part 2: Selections from the Blacksher, Menefee and Stein Records
Birdie Mae Davis et al v. Board of School Commissioners of Mobile County

Reel	Box	Folder Title	Display Comments
35	113	Correspondence (1 of 3)	Private/personal information was not filmed.
35	113	Correspondence (2 of 3)	Private/personal information was not filmed.
35	113	Correspondence (3 of 3)	Private/personal information was not filmed.
36	113	Attorneys' Fees Documents (1 of 3)	
36	113	Attorneys' Fees Documents (2 of 3)	
36	113	Attorneys' Fees Documents (3 of 3)	Resumes were not filmed.
36	113	Law Matters	Previously published documents were not filmed.
36	113	Miscellaneous Documents for Depositions/Magnet School Advisory Committee Meetings	
36	113	Documents, re: Possible "Exit Order"	
36	113	Documents, re: R 23(e) Hearing, March 5, 1997	Previously published documents were not filmed.
36	113	Stipulation and Order of Dismissal (Agreement)	
36	114	United States' First Interrogatories and Request for Production	
36	114	Board's Answers to United States' First Interrogatories and Request for Production (1 of 2)	Private/personal information was not filmed.
36	114	Board's Answers to United States' First Interrogatories and Request for Production (2 of 2)	
36	114	Board's Supplemental Response to United States' First Interrogatories and Request for Production	
36	186	Correspondence: General - 1963-1968	
36	186	Defendants Motions and Briefs, 1963-1968	
36	186	Desegregation Information and Newspaper Clippings	Newspaper clippings were not filmed.
36	186	Enrollment Data	
36	186	Law Files	Court of Appeals documents were not filmed.
36	186	Legal Defense Fund (NAACP)-Correspondence - 1963-1968	
37	186	Legal Documents - District Court - 1968-1970	
37	186	Legal Documents - District Court - 1967	
37	186	Legal Documents - District Court - 1963-1966	
37	186	Miscellaneous	
37	186	Plaintiffs Motions and Briefs	
37	186	US Court of Appeals - Fifth Circuit - 1963-1966	Court of Appeals documents were not filmed.
not filmed	186	US Court of Appeals - Fifth Circuit - 1967 (1 of 2)	Folder was not filmed as it contains only Court of Appeals documents.
not filmed	186	US Court of Appeals - Fifth Circuit - 1967 (2 of 2)	Folder was not filmed as it contains only Court of Appeals documents.
not filmed	186	US Court of Appeals - Fifth Circuit - 1968	Folder was not filmed as it contains only Court of Appeals documents.
not filmed	186	US Court of Appeals - Fifth Circuit - Undated Documents	Folder was not filmed as it contains only Court of Appeals documents.
not filmed	186	US Supreme Court	Folder was not filmed as it contains only Supreme Court documents.